RESEARCH ARTICLE



Effect of Ifosfamide on corneal genetic material and the role of lecithin and quercetin

Mahmoud SS¹, Aly EM¹, Ahmed R², Awad SM², Kamal GM²

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to investigate the possible roles of lecithin and quercetin either singly or combined against Ifosfamide-induced DNA damage in corneas. Healthy female *Rattus norvegicus* rats weighing 180 ± 10 g were divided into seven groups each comprised of ten rats. Group I served as control and intraperitoneally injected with 0.25 ml of physiological saline (0.9% NaCl) for 5 days. Groups II and III were orally administered lecithin at a dose of 100 mg/kg body weight or quercetin at a dose of 50 mg/kg body weight suspended in distilled water, respectively for six days; whereas group IV was used as positive control and intraperitoneally injected with Ifosfamide at a dose of 80mg/kg body weight for five days. Groups V and VI were injected with either quercetin or lecithin along with Ifosfamide by the same treatment regimens, respectively. Group VII received a combination of both protective agents along with Ifosfamide and treated similarly. Corneas from all groups were used for comet assay.

Results: The lecithin and quercetin treated groups were characterized by normal comet parameters compared to control. After Ifosfamide injection, all comet parameters were significantly increased (p<0.05). No changes in comet parameters were observed for lecithin-Ifosfamide treated group as well as for quercetin-Ifosfamide one. Co-administration of lecithin and quercetin indicate significant increase (p<0.05) in all comet parameters (group VII). All together led to conclude that Ifosfamide causes DNA damage of rat cornea due to its oxidative stress and, co-administration of lecithin and quercetin was contradicting each other while using these antioxidants separately has beneficial effects on corneal genetic material.

Key Words: Ifosfamide, cornea, lecithin, quercetin, comet assay, DNA

Introduction

Systemic drug-induced ocular side effects are increasing because of the vast numbers of new drugs being introduced. Reports of drug-induced ocular toxicity must be well documented, and the other causes of these side effects ruled out to help establish causality. Systemic anticancer therapies can produce acute and chronic organ damage, but the eye is usually considered a protected site¹. Nonetheless, the oculovisual system has a potentially high degree of sensitivity to toxic substances. Ocular toxicity induced by cancer chemotherapy includes a broad spectrum of disorders, reflecting the unique anatomical, physiological and biochemical features of the eye. Understanding the ocular side effects will assist the ophthalmologist and oncologist to recognize them early and intervene before blindness occurs.

Ifosfamide (Ifo) is an alkylating agents that directly damage DNA and prevent cancer cell from being

Correspondence to:

Sherif SM, MD

©JORS http://jors.journals.ekb.eg

¹Department of Vision Science, Biophysics and laser unit, Research Institute of Ophthalmology Email:<u>sheri sm@yahoo.com, sherif.siddik@rio.sci.eg</u>

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

reproduced. It is mainly metabolized through CYP 3A4 and CYP 2B6 enzymes. Ifosfamide is used in the treatment of a variety of solid tumors including those of the cervix, endometrium, lung, ovary, testes and thymus as well as in sarcoma and in the treatment of Burkitt's lymphoma².

Lecithins (Lec) are usually phospholipids, composed of phosphoric acid with choline, glycerol acid or other fatty acids usually glycolipids or triglycerides. Glycerophospholipids in lecithin include phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylserine and phosphatidic acid³.

Quercetin (Que) is a flavonol, a plant derived flavonoid used as a nutritional supplement found in fruits and vegetables. Quercetin is thought to have potent antioxidant, antidiabetic, anti-tumor, antiviral, and antiinflammatory benefits⁴.

The present study is aiming to investigate the possible protective roles of Lec and Que either singly or combined against Ifo-induced DNA damage in corneas of female rats.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

All chemicals utilized were obtained from Sigma Company (St. Louis, MO, USA) with the highest purity commercially available.

Animals

Healthy seventy female *Rattus norvegicus* rats weighing 180±10g were divided into seven groups, each containing ten rats. The first group named group I served as control, and received 0.9% NaCl vehicle; 0.25ml intraperitoneally for 5 days. Groups II and III were orally administered Lec at a dose of 100 mg/kg bwt⁵ or Que at a dose of 50 mg/kg bwt⁶ suspended in distilled water, respectively for six days. Group IV was used as positive control and injected with Ifo at a dose of 80 mg/kg bwt⁷ intraperitoneally for five days. Groups V and VI were administered either Que or Lec along with Ifo by the same treatments regimens, respectively. Group VII received a combination of both protective agents along with Ifo and treated similarly.

Comet assay procedure

Preparation of slides

Crushed corneas were transferred to 1ml ice-cold PBS (phosphate buffer saline, pH 7.9). This suspension was stirred for 5 min and filtered. Cell suspension (100μ l) was combined with 600μ l of low melting agarose (0.8% in PBS), where 100μ l of this mixture was spread on the slides. The coated slides were immersed in lyses buffer (0.045 M TBE, Tris borate EDTA pH 8.4, containing 2.5% SDS) for 15 min.

Electrophoresis of Slides

Slides were positioned on the horizontal gel box and were completely enclosed with fresh electrophoresis buffer (pH>13) for 20 min to unwind of DNA and the expression of alkali-labile damage. The power supply was turn on to 1 volt/cm and adjusts the current to 100 m amperes for 25 minutes then gently lift the slides from the buffer and were coated with neutralization buffer for at least 5 minutes. Slides were then stained with 80 μ L of 1X Ethidium Bromide (EtBr), leaved for 5 min and then dipped in distilled water to remove excess stain. Finally, drain process was done by keeping the slides for 20 min in cold 100% ethanol and place them in an oven at 500°C for 30 min and repeat staining with EtBr.

Evaluation of DNA Damage

For visualization of DNA damage, observations are made of EtBr-stained DNA using a 40x objective on a fluorescent microscope. A Komet 5 image analysis software developed by Kinetic Imaging, Ltd. (Liverpool, UK) linked to a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera were used to assess the extent of DNA damage in the cells through measuring the length of DNA migration and the percentage of migrated DNA. Finally, the program calculates tail moment⁸.

Statistical analysis

Results were displayed as the mean \pm SD. In order to get a comparison between groups, investigation of fluctuation (ANOVA) was done by using commercially available software program (SPSS-11 for windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), where the significance level was set at p<0.05.

Correspondence to:

Sherif SM, MD ¹Department of Vision Science, Biophysics and laser unit, Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Email: sherif.siddik@rio.sci.eg

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

©JORS http://jors.journals.ekb.eg/

Results

Figure (1) represents comet assay images stained with ethidium bromide for all studied groups denoted by numbers I to VII and are discernible for control, Lec, Que, Ifo, Lec-Ifo, Que-Ifo and Lec+Que-Ifo, respectively. At the molecular level, the formation of "comets" in the DNA of cells upon genotoxic insult can be visualized through the method of gel electrophoresis and indicates DNA strand breaks, as the damaged DNA migrates at a different rate than non-damaged DNA during electrophoresis. In the comet assay, when a damaged DNA-containing single cell suspension embedded in low melting agarose is electrophoresed, the damaged DNA migrates away from the undamaged DNA-containing nucleoid body, resembling the structure of a comet, hence the name comet assay. In the comet structure, the undamaged DNA nucleoid part is referred to as the "head" and the trailing damaged DNA streak is referred to as the "tail".



Figure (1): Comet assay images of corneal DNA from all groups. I: the control group, II: lecithin group, III: quercetin group, IV: ifosfamide group, V: lecithin-ifosfamide group, VI: quercetin- ifosfamide group and VII: lecithin+quercetin - ifosfamide group.

The percentage of DNA in the tail is directly proportional to the percentage of DNA damage that has occurred in a particular cell. Thus, by counting a representative sample of $\sim 100-300$ cells per tissue it is possible to get the average percentage of DNA damage accumulated in a particular tissue due to genotoxic stress.

The Tail Moment has been suggested to be an appropriate index of induced DNA damage in considering both the migration of the genetic material as well as the relative amount of DNA in the tail. Percentage tail DNA calculated by the equation Tail DNA% = 100 x Tail DNA

Intensity/Cell then DNA tail moment can be measured using the following methods: Extent Tail Moment = Tail DNA% x Length of Tail. Analysis of comet images were summarized in figure (2) to reflect the comet parameters resulted from Komet program which are percentage tail cells, tail length in µm, percentage tail DNA and tail moment. The values of these parameters for control group were $4 \pm 2\%$, $1.89 \pm 1.00 \mu m$, $1.29 \pm 0.9 \%$ and 2.44 ± 0.1 units, respectively as shown in table (1). Lec and Que groups indicate a normal comet parameters compared to control. After Ifo injection, all comet parameters were significantly increased (p<0.05). No changes in comet parameters were observed for Lec-Ifo group and Que-Ifo group. Treatments with both lecithin and quercetin (Lec+Que-Ifo) indicate significant increased (p<0.05) in all comet parameters (group VII).

Discussion

Ocular toxicities are a common side-effect of systemic chemotherapeutic drugs⁹ and have also emerged as an important clinical concern for newer molecularly-targeted agents entering standard oncology practice¹⁰.

Ifosfamide has a broad spectrum of activity against tumors in both animals and humans, and appears to be particularly active against testicular cancer and sarcomas. Like cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide has been reported to cause reversible blurred vision and conjunctivitis⁹.

Phospholipids are constituents of all cell membranes and are present in food from plant and animal sources. Soy lecithin is a mixture of naturally occurring phospholipids, phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylinositol, and is considered as an excellent source of choline (essential nutrient) for nutritional supplement¹¹⁻¹². Antioxidants with flavonoid functionality -as quercetin- are low-molecular weight polyphenolics which occur in a variety of vegetables and fruits¹³. The antioxidant properties of flavonoids are responsible for the protective effect disease¹⁴.



Figure (2): Histograms pattern of % tailed cells, tail length, % tail DNA and tail moment for all studied groups.

Single cell gel electrophoresis, or the comet assay, was considered as a sensitive technique for detecting DNA strand breaks, at the level of individual cell¹⁵. Tail moment calculated from comet image is an indicator to DNA damage and the severity of damage. Degree of DNA damage happened in control group explained that about 10,000 oxidation hits to DNA per cell have been expected to occur per day in the human body, and more than 35 forms of oxidized bases are found in DNA. Effective DNA-repair enzymes can repair that damage, but some damage escapes repair, leading to stable damage. The significant increase (p<0.05) of tail moment, tail length, % tailed DNA and % tailed cells in cornea of rats injected with Ifo reflect the damage in cornea and this may be due to the oxidative stress resulting from injection of Ifo. During cancer chemotherapy treatment, drug-induced oxidative stress can limit therapeutic efficiency and cause a number of side effects. Excess reactive oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen species (RNS) lead to oxidative stress and oxidation of cellular structure especially membrane lipids and proteins. Also they lead to mutation of mitochondria and damage to DNA¹⁶.

Table (1): Percentage of tailed cell, tail length, tail DNA and tail moment of all studied groups compared to control group.

*statistical significant (p<0.05)

Sample	Tailed	Tail length	Tail DNA %	Tail Moment
		μm	,,	Unit
Group I	4±2	1.89±1.0	1.29± 0.9	2.44±0.10
Control				
Group II	3±2	1.51±0.21	1.62±0.16	2.45±0.13
Lec				
Group III	4±2	1.05±0.10	1.16±0.1	1.218±0.09
Que		100-0110		1.210-0.07
Group IV	16±1*	4.29±0.63*	3.75±0.84*	16.08±2.12*
Ifo				
Group V	6±1	2.10±0.9	1.68±0.4	3.53±2.6
Lec- Ifo				
Group VI	5±2	1.80±0.6	2.20±1.1	3.96±1.74
Que-Ifo				
Group VII	9±2*	3.42±0.96*	3.01±0.77*	10.29±1.36*
lfo+ Lec- Que				

During cancer chemotherapy treatment, drug-induced oxidative stress can limit therapeutic efficiency and cause

Correspondence to:

Sherif SM, MD

¹Department of Vision Science, Biophysics and laser unit, Research Institute of Ophthalmology

Email: sherif.siddik@rio.sci.eg

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

©JORS http://jors.journals.ekb.eg/ a number of side effects. Excess reactive oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen species (RNS) lead to oxidative stress and oxidation of cellular structure especially membrane lipids and proteins. Also they lead to mutation of mitochondria and damage to DNA¹⁶. The primary site of ROS/RNS generation is the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase system of hepatic microsomes. Enzyme systems such as the xanthine-xanthine oxidase system, and non-enzymatic mechanisms, such as Fenton reaction, also play a role in creating excess oxidative stress throughout chemotherapy. Role of Lec or Que separately in protection from oxidative damage due to Ifo injection is cleared in results of tail moment, tail length and % tailed DNA that mimic the control. The combination of two treatment indicated significant increase (p<0.05) in all parameters compared to control that indicate contradicting effect on the cornea.

Conclusion

Ifosfamide causes DNA damage of rat cornea due to its oxidative stress. Co-administration of Lecithin and quercetin with intraperitoneally injection of Ifo was contradicting each other while, using these antioxidants separately with Ifo was found to have beneficial effect corneal genetic material.

Author details

Sherif Siddik Mahmoud¹, Eman Mohamed Aly¹

Rehab Ahmed², Sahar M. Awad², Gehan M. Kamal²

- Biophysics and Laser Science Unit, Vision Science Department, Research Institute of Ophthalmology, Giza, Egypt. P.O. box 90
- 2. Al-Azhar University, Faculty of Science, Cairo, Egypt

Received Date:	November 1, 2019
Accepted Date:	May 9, 2020
Published Online:	September 12, 2020

References

 Moorthy RS, Valluri S. Ocular toxicity associated with systemic drug therapy. Curr Opin Ophthalmol 1999; 10(6): 438-46.

- Yang, H., Ma, Y., Liu, Z., Wang, Z., Han, B., Ma, L., 2015. Benefit from ifosfamide treatment in small-cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. Mol. Clin. Oncol. 3, 420–424.
- Najafi A, Najafi MH, Zanganeh Z, Sharafi M, Martinez-Pastor F, Adeldust H. Cryopreservation of ram semen in extenders containing soybean lecithin as cryoprotectant and hyaluronic acid as antioxidant. Reprod Domest Anim. 2014 Dec;49(6):934-40.
- 4. Spencer et al., Flavonoids: Modulators of brain function. British Journal of Nutrition. 2008; 99: 60–77.
- H.S. Lee, B.K. Kim, Y. Nam, U.D. Sohn, E.S. Park, S.A. Hong, J.H. Lee, Y.H. Chung, J.H. Jeong, Protective role of phosphatidylcholine against cisplatin-induced renal toxicity and oxidative stress in rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 85(2013)388-93.
- H. Francescato, T. Coimbra, R. Costa, M. L. Bianchi, Protective effect of quercetin on the evolution of cisplatininduced acute tubular necrosis. Kidney Blood Press Res. 27, 3 (2004) 148-58.
- N. Chen, K. Aleksa, C. Woodland, M. Rieder, G. Koren, N-Acetylcysteine prevents ifosfamide - induced nephrotoxicity in rats. Br J Pharmacol. 153, 7 (2008) 1364-72.
- S. Nandhakumar, S. Parasuraman, M.M. Shanmugam, K.R. Rao, P. Chand, B.V. Bhat. Evaluation of DNA damage using single-cell gel electrophoresis (Comet Assay). Journal of Pharmacology & Pharmacotherapeutics. 2(2) (2011)107-111.
- Altweigeri, Jean N., and John R.(1996): Ocular Toxicity and Cancer Chemotherapy A review. Cancer, 78 (1) 1359–1373.
- Renouf D., Velazquez M., Simpson J., Siu R., Bedard P., (2012). Ocular toxicity of targeted therapies. J. Clin. Oncol. 30, 3277–3286.
- **11.** Joshi M., Buchanan K., Shroff S., Orenic T. (2006). Delta and Hairy establish a periodic prepattern that positions sensory bristles in Drosophila legs. Dev. Biol. 293(1): 64-76.
- Mohamed F. (2012) Antioxidant characteristics of phenolipids (quercetin-enriched lecithin) in lipid matrices. Industrial Crops and Products, 36, 363–369.
- Hertog G., Michael Hollman C., Peter H., and Venema P. Dini (1992). Optimization of a quantitative HPLC determination of potentially anticarcinogenic flavonoids in vegetables and fruits. *Food Chem.*, 40 (9), 1591–1598.
- Pearson G., Robinson F., Beers T., Xu B., Karandikar M., Berman K.and Cobb M. (2001). Mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase pathways: regulation and physiological functions. Endocrine society. 22(2):153-83.
- **15.** Collins A., (2014). Measuring oxidative damage to DNA and its repair with the comet assay. BiochimBiophysActa.1840, 2, 794-800.
- **16.** Bartsch H. and Nair J.,(2004) Oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation-driven DNA-lesions in inflammation driven carcinogenesis. Cancer Detect Prevention.28,385-391.